ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Racial disparities in health care—emergency department management of minor head injury

Richard Brown · Jeremy Furyk

Received: 24 April 2009 / Accepted: 11 July 2009 / Published online: 1 September 2009 © Springer-Verlag London Ltd 2009

Abstract

Background/aim International research has demonstrated disparities in the Emergency Department care of patients of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds. The management of minor head injuries requires a careful clinical assessment to determine the requirement of a CT scan of the head. The aim of this study was to determine if there was any disparity, based on race, in the management of minor head injury.

Methods This was a retrospective, structured, medical record review of patients presenting to The Townsville Hospital Emergency Department, between September 2004 and April 2007, with minor head injury. The main outcome measure was whether or not patients received a CT head scan when clinically indicated. The Canadian CT Head Rule was considered the standard for clinical indication for a CT head scan. Secondary outcome measures included triage category, waiting time, level of care provider, disposition and serum ethanol.

Results A total of 270 patients (73 indigenous and 196 nonindigenous) were enrolled. There was no statistically significant difference in ordering CT head scans when clinically indicated between indigenous and non-indigenous patients. However, a trend indicating that indigenous patients were less likely to receive a CT head scan when

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and not those of the editors, editorial board or publisher.

R. Brown · J. Furyk
Emergency Department, The Townsville Hospital,
100 Angus Smith Drive,
Douglas, QLD 4814, Australia

R. Brown (⊠)
24 Louise Street,
Cranbrook, QLD 4814, Australia
e-mail: browniers@live.com.au

clinically indicated (OR 0.35; 95% CI=0.07 to 1.77) was noted. Indigenous patients had a mean waiting time of 44.6 min (SD 49.6) compared to non-indigenous with 31.1 min (SD 36.4; p=0.02).

Conclusion This study concluded that there was no statistically significant disparity based upon race in the management of minor head injuries with regard to decision to perform a CT head scan. There is some evidence that indigenous patients waited longer to be seen. A multi-centre prospective study is necessary to confirm these findings.

Keywords Racial disparity · Head injury · Emergency department

Introduction

Patients of indigenous descent are a unique population with a unique risk factor profile. Although the Australian health system aims to provide universal access to all aspects of health care to all Australians, non-indigenous Australians now have a life expectancy of 78 to 83 years [1], whereas the life expectancy of Australia's indigenous population may be up to 18 years less [2, 3]. This gap in life expectancy is predominantly due to rising rates of noncommunicable diseases such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancers, digestive diseases and their subsequent complications [4].

International research has demonstrated many fields in which a disparity in the level of care of patients based on race has existed [5–15], including differences in Emergency Department management [16, 17], and has also examined areas such as the management of chest pain [18], the management of head injury [19] and the provision of analgesia [20, 21]. There has been very little research in this field in Australia.

Racial disparity in medical management is an evolving field of research in many countries. Evidence from the US suggests that racial and ethnic disparities exist in the provision of health care [5-15]. In 2002, a report titled "Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care" outlined that minority groups such as African Americans, Hispanics and American Indians experienced higher rates of heart disease, diabetes, carcinomas and liver disease disproportionate to the size of the population [33]. Additionally, these patients were less likely to have health insurance and more likely to use the hospital Emergency Department as their primary medical provider. These patients were also more likely to experience direct and indirect discrimination in medical management. While the demonstrated health status of Australia's indigenous population appears to be similar to that demonstrated above [3, 34-37], it is unknown if Aboriginals and Torres Strait Islanders are more likely to experience discrimination in medical management.

Even though there have been demonstrated disparities in the US, it must also be remembered that many of these disparities may be due to the level of insurance coverage of the patient as the United States' health system is different from that of Australia [38]. New Zealand, however, has a similar health-care system to Australia, and Māori patients were found in one study by Davis et al. to receive a slightly poorer level of hospital care than non-Māori patients [39]. Additionally, Māori patients were found to be ten times more likely to have experienced racial discrimination in health management than those New Zealanders of European origin [40].

Racial disparity in emergency medicine is still a limited field of research in Australia. A study performed in the Northern Territory in 2000 investigated potential disparity in the management of acute myocardial infarction between indigenous and non-indigenous people [41]. While there was a significant difference in the provision of thrombolysis between the two groups, it was found that the only statistically significant reason for this disparity was the delay in presentation of the individual.

In 2001, a study at the Royal Darwin Hospital investigated potential disparity based upon race in the triage process at the Emergency Department [42]. After collection of data over a 3-month period, no difference was shown.

Trauma remains a significant source of morbidity and mortality overseas and in Australia. It accounts for approximately 16% of indigenous deaths, 20% of which are due to head injury [3]. Emergency departments in the US treat approximately 2 million patients with head injury annually [22]. In Australia, the incidence of mild traumatic brain injury is approximately 61–131/100,000 trauma presentations [23].

The aim of this study was to determine if a disparity exists between Australians of indigenous descent and other Australians in the assessment and management of minor head injury in the Emergency Department, and in the decision to perform CT head scans.

Methods

This was a retrospective, structured, medical record review of adult patients presenting with minor head injury to The Townsville Hospital, a tertiary public hospital in North Queensland, Australia. The emergency department attends to over 54,000 presentations per year [24]. It is the only Emergency Department in the Townsville-Thuringowa area caring for a population of 190,000, approximately 6.5% of whom identify themselves as indigenous [25].

The Townsville Hospital also serves a greater population of 615,000 people as a tertiary referral centre for Cardiothoracic Surgery and Neurosurgery. Additionally, the Townsville Hospital serves as a teaching hospital for the James Cook University Medical School. CT scans are available at the Townsville hospital 24 hours a day if clinically indicated. Approval to perform this study as a quality improvement audit was granted by the Townsville Health Service District Human Research Ethics Committee.

The ED database (EDIS version 9.34.1022PAI) was used to identify all patients with a minor head injury aged 18 to 65 presenting from 1 September 2004 to 30 April 2007 with the following diagnoses: concussion, minor head injury, post concussional syndrome, post traumatic amnesia, scalp contusion and loss of consciousness.

Minor head injury was defined as a witnessed loss of consciousness, definite amnesia or witnessed disorientation in a patient with GCS 14–15 following blunt head trauma [27]. Patients were excluded from the study if the mechanism of injury recorded was not consistent with blunt trauma, if other significant injuries co-existed and if their GCS on arrival was less than 14. Additionally, patients with significant radiological findings on CT scan, thus implicating an alternative diagnosis (e.g., subdural haematoma, cerebral contusion and skull fracture), were excluded.

Data were collected using a specifically designed, piloted data collection sheet. Sources included EDIS, medical notes and nursing notes.

Data extracted from EDIS included demographic data such as:

- age,
- gender,
- indigenous status,

•Aboriginal and not Torres Strait Islander, •Torres Strait Islander and not Aboriginal, •Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander and

•neither Aboriginal nor Torres Strait Islander (this was subsequently recorded as indigenous yes/no).

This information is routinely collected by clerical staff. Other data extracted included:

- ED triage category,
- waiting time (time from presentation until seen by a medical practitioner),
- medical provider level (consultant, registrar or RMO) and
- patient disposition.

Variables extracted from the charts included:

- mechanism of injury consistent with blunt trauma (yes/no);
- GCS recorded by triage nurse on arrival.
- Clinical variables were as outlined in the Canadian CT Head Rule by Stiell et al. [27], specifically, high-risk features:
- failure to achieve GCS 15 within 2 h of presentation to the emergency department,
- suspected open skull fracture,
- · clinical signs of basal skull fracture,

CSF otorrhoea or rhinorrhoea,
mastoid ecchymosis or Battle's sign,
periorbital ecchymosis or raccoon eyes,
hemotympanum,
vertigo,
reduced hearing or deafness and
seventh nerve palsy [29].

- Vomiting on at least two occasions post head injury;
- age 65 or older.
- Moderate risk factors were:

•amnesia before the time of impact greater than 30 min;

°dangerous mechanism of injury.

- Pedestrian struck by motor vehicle;
- assault with a blunt object;
- fall from a height of greater than 1 m/five stairs;
- heavy object falling onto the head;
- high-speed motor vehicle collision [27].

If an item was not specifically documented in the medical record, it was assumed to be absent. The final variable extracted was whether or not serum ethanol was tested.

The primary outcome measure was the evidence of any disparity, based on race, in the performance of CT head scans in cases of minor head injury when clinically indicated. For the purposes of this study, performance of a CT head scan was deemed clinically indicated if any high or moderate risk factors outlined in the Canadian CT Head Rule were present. Of note, the Canadian CT Head Rule states that CT head scans should be considered in the presence of moderate risk factors.

Secondary outcomes included examination of potential disparity in waiting times in addition to determining what percentages of patient waiting times were within established recommended triage parameters according to the Australasian College of Emergency Medicine (ACEM) Policy [28], the decision to test for serum ethanol, level of the medical practitioner providing care and patient disposition.

Statistical analysis

Collected data were entered into an Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft corporation version 2002) and subsequently into a format suitable for statistical analysis, utilising the Public Domain Statistics program [30]. Mean ages and waiting times were not normally distributed and hence were compared using the Mann-Whitney test. Comparisons of performance of CT, in the presence or absence of being clinically indicated, were performed using two-by-two tables and Fisher's exact test. The 95% confidence intervals are presented, and statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

Finally, agreement and inter-rater reliability were assessed using a randomly selected sample of 10% of the included subjects. This was determined by calculating a kappa statistic for the overall outcome of whether or not a CT head scan was clinically indicated, thus demonstrating the strength of agreement and reproducibility of data.

Results

Review of EDIS identified a total of 647 patients with the specified ICD-10 diagnoses. Of these, 76 were indigenous and 571 were non-indigenous. From this group, all 76 indigenous patients were selected, and 200 non-indigenous patients were randomly selected by utilising Microsoft Excel spreadsheet programming to rearrange the identified patient UR numbers randomly for inclusion in this study.

A total of seven patients were excluded from the study either due to insufficient clinical information or failure to meet selection criteria. Further demographic data are demonstrated in Table 2. While there was a statistically significant difference in mean age, this was unlikely to be of clinical significance.

Primary outcome measures have been outlined in Table 1. Overall, there was no statistically significant disparity in the performance of CT head scans between indigenous and non-indigenous patients.

Secondary outcomes are outlined in Table 2. While a statistically significant difference in waiting time was demonstrated, that difference was only 13.5 min. Indigenous patients were found to be 2.6 times more likely to

	Indigenous (percentage)	Non-indigenous (percentage)	p OR 95% CI
CT scan performed when clinically indicated	12 (80%)	46 (92%)	0.34 (Fisher's exact test) 0.35 0.07 to 1.77
CT scan not performed when clinically indicated	3 (20%)	4 (8%)	
CT scan performed when not clinically indicated	2 (3%)	12 (8%)	0.36 (Fisher's exact test) 0.4 0.09 to 1.84
CT scan not performed when not clinically indicated	56 (97%)	134 (92%)	

Table 1 Comparison of performance of CT scan with respect to clinical indication

 $p{=}0.34$ (Fisher's exact test), OR 0.35 (95% CI=0.07 to 1.77)

p=0.36 (Fisher's exact test), OR 0.4 (95% CI=0.09 to 1.84)

have serum ethanol levels tested, which was a statistically significant result.

There was no statistically significant disparity demonstrated between indigenous and non-indigenous patients regarding treatment either in allocated triage times or in the level of the medical practitioner attending the patient. There was no statistically significant difference in patient disposition.

Finally, inter-rater reliability calculations for the outcome of a CT head scan being clinically indicated resulted in κ of 0.8, thus demonstrating good data reproducibility [31, 32].

Discussion

Regarding head injuries, two studies have been performed investigating racial disparities in the Emergency Department care of patients with traumatic brain injuries [19, 43]. Both studies compared Hispanics with non-Hispanics and African Americans with non-African Americans. Like our study, these both focussed on blunt head trauma.Wall et al. [19] investigated all traumatic brain injuries, whereas Bazarian et al. [43] studied only minor traumatic brain injury. Both studies, like ours, discovered no statistically significant disparity in the decision to perform a CT head scan when clinically indicated.

However, Bazarian found that Hispanic patients were more likely to have significantly longer waiting times to see a doctor and were more likely to leave the Emergency Department before being seen. Hispanic patients were also more likely to have serum ethanol levels performed than non-Hispanic patients, which correlates with our findings regarding indigenous patients.

Table 2 Summary of results. Demographic data: mean ages, waiting times, serum ethanol testing and disposition

	Indigenous	Non-indigenous	p value	OR 95% CI
Mean age (years) (SD)	32.7 (9.45)	29.4 (10.58)	0.005 *	
Sex M/F	38/35	111/85	0.58 #	
Mean waiting time (minutes) (SD)	44.6 (49.6)	31.1 (36.4)	0.02 *	
Seen within recommended triage time n(%)	54 (74%)	160 (82%)	0.18 #	0.63
				0.34-1.21
Consultant n(%)	10 (13.5%)	21 (11%)		
Registrar n(%)	22 (30%)	80 (41%)	0.27 \$	
Resident n(%)	41 (56%)	95 (48%)		
Serum ethanol tested n(%)	16 (22%)	19 (9.7%)	0.013 #	2.6
				1.26-5.42
Admitted n(%)	13 (17.8%)	36 (18.4%)	0.25 \$	
Left against medical advice n(%)	3 (4%)	2 (1.5%)		

*Mann-Whitney test, #Fisher's exact test, \$chi-square comparative test

While the disparity in waiting time of 13.5 min discovered in our study was found to be statistically significant, it is unlikely that this time frame would be of clinical significance.

Although our study and the study by Bazarian et al. demonstrated that indigenous patients were more likely to have serum ethanol levels tested than non-indigenous patients, another US study demonstrated no such difference. In a study by Marcin et al., the frequency of alcohol and drug screening between Caucasian and African-American patients was the same [44]. Our finding that indigenous patients were 2.6 times more likely to have serum ethanol tested was interesting as evidence suggests that serum ethanol has no impact upon GCS in traumatic brain injury and therefore should not impact decision making [45].

The difference in the mean age of the two groups was rated as statistically significant, but unlikely to be of clinical significance. With the development of the CT Head Rule by Stiell et al. [28], it was determined that the need for the performance of CT head scans in cases of minor head injury was only affected by age in those 65 and older. Intuitively, one would suppose that any bias because of age would favour the ordering of CT head scans in older patients where our study found indigenous patients less likely to receive a CT head scan despite a higher mean age.

Our study did have a number of limitations. The major limitation of this study is in its design, namely, a retrospective medical record review, with all the wellknown weaknesses of this methodology. The most significant weakness is that the absence of documentation of clinical findings in the chart does not necessarily mean that that they were looked for, or indeed, absent. Also, the limited size and specifically the small number of CT head scans performed mean our study was only powered to find very large differences between the two groups for this outcome. The selected time period was chosen as this was the commencement time for EDIS at this centre, enabling more accurate data collection than the previous system. Additionally, this particular centre was not working to a set Minor Head Injury protocol during the time period studied. Clinical decision making was performed by individual medical practitioners. For the purpose of uniformity, the Canadian CT Head Rule was applied externally to charted clinical findings.

It should be noted that this study did not test or allow for all potential confounders; for example, daily patient loads, timing of presentations, access block or staffing levels at times of presentation may have contributed to the increased waiting times for patients. Finally, with regard to external validity, ours was a single-centre study and therefore not necessarily representative of the state or national population. Therefore, our results are not necessarily transferable to different populations and different settings.

Conclusions

This study demonstrated no statistically significant disparity in the decision to perform CT head scans for indigenous patients with minor head injuries. Statistically significant secondary outcome findings included longer mean waiting times and an increased likelihood to have serum ethanol testing. This single-centre, retrospective study requires confirmation with a multi-centre, prospective study.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Dr David Kault, who provided statistical advice and guidance for this study.

Conflicts of interest None.

References

- Australian Bureau of Statistics (2005) Australian Social Trends. (Cat no. 4102.0) Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics. (cited 19 October 2007). Available from URL: http://www.abs.com.au/ Australian_Social_Trends/Health_2007/
- Li SQ, Guthridge S (2004) Mortality in the Northern Territory: 1981–2000. Department of Health and Community Services, Darwin
- Australian Bureau of Statistics (2005) The Health and Welfare of Australia's Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples 2005 (Cat no. 4704.0). Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics. (cited 19 October 2007). Available from URL: http://www.abs.com.au/ themes/people/indigenous/statistical_releases/The_Health_and_ Welfare_of_Australia's_Aboriginal_and_Torres_Strait_Islander_ Peoples_2005/
- Zhao Y, Dempsey K (2006) Causes of inequality in life expectancy between indigenous and non-indigenous populations of the Northern Territory, 1981–2000: a decomposition analysis. Med J Aust 184(10):490–494
- Ibrahim SA, Stone RA, Obrosky DS et al (2006) Racial differences in 30–day mortality for pulmonary embolism. Am J Public Health 96(12):2161–2164
- Casagrande SS, Gary TL, LaVeist TA et al (2007) Perceived discrimination and adherence to medical care in a racially integrated community. J Gen Intern Med 22(3):389–395
- Alexander M, Grumbach K, Remy L et al (1999) Congestive heart failure hospitalisations and survival in California: patterns according to race/ethnicity. Am Heart J 137(5):919–927
- Johnstone SC, Fung LH, Gillum LA et al (2001) Utilization of intravenoustissue-type plasminogen activator for ischaemic stroke at academic medical centres: the influence of ethnicity. Stroke 32 (5):1061–1068
- Underwood W, DeMonner S, Ubel P et al (2004) Racial/ethnic disparities in the treatment of localized/regional prostate cancer. J Urol 171(4):1504–1507
- Tammemagi CM (2007) Racial/ethnic disparities in breast and gynaecologic cancer treatment and outcomes. Curr Opin Obstet Gynaecol 19(1):31–36
- Rust G, Nembhard WN, Nichols M et al (2004) Racial and ethnic disparities in the provision of epidural analgesiato Georgia Medicaid beneficiaries during labour and delivery. Am J Obstet Gynaecol 191(2):456–462
- Vallerand AH, Hasenau S, Templin T et al (2005) Disparities between black and white patients with cancer pain: the effect of perception of control over pain. Pain Med 6(3):242–250

- Fiscella K, Franks P, Meldrum S et al (2005) Racial disparity in surgical complications in New York State. Ann Surg 242(2):151–155
- Schulman KA, Berlin JA, Harless W, Kerner JF, Sistrunk S, Gerch BJ, Dube R, Taleghani CK, Burke JE, Williams S, Eisenberg JM, Escarce JJ (1999) The effect of race and sex on physician's recommendations for cardiac catheterisation. N Engl J Med 340(8):618–626
- Bowman SM, Martin DP, Sharar SR, Zimmerman FJ (2007) Racial disparities in outcomes of persons with moderate to severe traumatic brain injury. Med Care 45(7):686–690
- Richardson LD, Babcock IC, Tamayo-Sarver JH (2003) Racial and ethnic disparities in the clinical practice of emergency medicine. Acad Emerg Med 1184(11):1184–1188
- Richards CF, Lowe RA (2003) Researching racial and ethnic disparities in emergency medicine. Acad Emerg Med 10 (11):1169–1175
- Venkat A, Hoekstra J, Lindsell C, Prall D, Hollander JE, Pollack CV Jr, Diercks D, Kirk JD, Tiffany B, Peacock F, Storrow AB, Gibler WB (2003) The impact of race on the acute management of chest pain. Acad Emerg Med 10(11):1199–1208
- Wall SP, Ha ES, Habicht MER, Wawda H, Merchant GL, Ettner SL, Mower WR (2005) Impact of patient race on receiving head CT during blunt head injury evaluation. Acad Emerg Med 12:862–868
- Tamayo-Sarver JH, Hinze SW, Cydulka RK et al (2003) Racial and ethnic disparities in Emergency Department analgesic prescription. Am J Public Health 93(12)):2067–2073
- Todd KH, Deaton C, D'Adamo AP, Goe L (2000) Ethnicity and analgesic practice. Ann Emerg Med 35(1):11–16
- McCaig LF, Nghi L (2002) National hospital ambulatory medical care survey: 2000 emergency department summary. Adv Data 326:1–31
- Khan F, Aguley IJ, Cameron ID (2003) Rehabilitation after traumatic brain injury. MJA 178(6):290–295
- 24. Emergency Department Information System Version 9.34.1022PAI: 1 January 2006 to 31 December 2006
- 25. Australian Bureau of Statistics (2006) 2006 Census of Population and Housing of Northern Queensland (Statistical Division) - Queensland (Cat. No 2068.0). Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics (Cited 10 October 2007). Available from URL: http://www.censusdata.abs.gov. au/ABS_navigation/queensland/related_information/northerndivision/ indigenous profile/
- 26. The International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision, Australian Modification. 4th Edition; July 2004
- Stiell IG, Wells GA, Vandemheen K, Clement C, Lesiuk H et al (2001) The Canadian CT Head Rule for patients with minor head injury. Lancet 357:1391–1396
- Australasian College of Emergency Medicine—Policy on the Australasian Triage Scale; c1993 [revised November 2000, reviewed March 2006, cited 16 September 2007]. Available from URL: http://www.acem.org.au/media/policies and guidelines/
- Tintinalli JE, Kelen GP, Stapczynski JS. Emergency Medicine—A Comprehensive Study Guide 5th Edition 1641
- 30. Kault D (2003) Public domain statistics. Version 1.01
- 31. Landis JR, Koch GG (1977) The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 33:159–174
- 32. Cassidy LD, Marsh GM, Holleran MK et al. Methodology to improve data quality from chart review in the managed care setting. The American Journal of Managed Care 8(9):787–973

- Smedley BD, Stith AY, Nelson AR (2002) Unequal treatment: Confronting racial and ethnic disparities in health care. National Academy Press
- Couzos S, Davis S (2005) Inequities in Aboriginal health—access to Asthma 3+ Visit Plan. Aust Fam Physician 34(10):837–840
- 35. van der Westenberg L, Klis KA, Karin AM, Chan A, Dekker G, Keane RJ (2002) Aboriginal teenage pregnancies compared with non-Aboriginal in South Australia 1995–1999. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 42(2):187–192
- 36. Murray RB, Metcalf SM, Lewis PM, Mein JK, McAllister IL (2005) Sustaining remote-area programs: retinal camera use by Aboriginal health workers and nurses in a Kimberly partnership. MJA 182(10):520–523
- Thomas M (2005) Deprivation and dialysis: pathways to kidney failure in Australian Aborigines. Advances in Chronic Kidney Disease 12(1):84–87
- Sundararajan V, Reidpath DD, Allotay P (2007) Ethnicity, discrimination and health outcomes: a secondary analysis of data from Victoria, Australia. Diversity in Health and Social Care 4(1):21–32
- Davis P, Lay-Yee R, Dyall L, Briant R, Sporie A, Brunt D, Scott A (2006) Quality of hospital care for Māori patients in New Zealand: retrospective cross-sectional assessment. Lancet 367:1920– 1925
- Harris R, Tobias M, Waldegrave K, Karlsen S, Nazroo J (2006) Effects of self-reported racial discrimination and deprivation on Māori health and inequalities in New Zealand: cross-sectional study. Lancet 367:2005–2009
- Ong M, Weeramanthri T (2000) Delay times and management of acute myocardial infarction in indigenous and non-indigenous people in the Northern Territory. MJA 173:201–204
- 42. Johnston-Leek M, Sprivulis P, Stella J, Palmer D (2001) Emergency department triage of indigenous and non-indigenous patients in tropical Australia. Emerg Med 13:333–337
- Bazarian JJ, Pope C, McClung J, Cheng YT, Flesher W (2003) Ethnic and racial disparities in the emergency department care for mild traumatic brain injury. Acad Emerg Med 10(11):1209–1217
- 44. Marcin JP, Pretzlaff RK, Whittaker HL, Kon AA (2003) Evaluation of race and ethnicity on alcohol and drug testing of adolescents admitted with trauma. Acad Emerg Med 10 (11):1253–1259
- 45. Sperry JL, Gentilello LM, Minei JP, 6 et al (2006) Waiting for the patient to "sober up": effect of alcohol intoxication on Glasgow coma scale score of brain injured patients. J Trauma Inj Infect Crit Care 61:1305–1311

Richard Brown graduated with an MBBS from the University of Queensland in 1995. After completing his Intern and Residency years at The Townsville General Hospital, he served as a Medical Officer with the Royal Australian Air Force from 1998 to mid 2003. During this time he served as part of the Peace Monitoring Group in Bougainville in 2000 and as part of the aeromedical evacuation team in the United Nations Peace Keeping Force in East Timor in 2001–2002.

After leaving the Royal Australian Air Force, Dr Brown completed his Fellowship with the Australasian College for Emergency Medicine in 2008 and is currently employed as a Staff Specialist at the Emergency Department of the Townsville Hospital.